• Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/897,972	CHO, SUNG BUM
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thjuan P. Knowlin	2642
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Thjuan P. Knowlin.	(3) Bilodeau, 1	David
(2) Ahmad MATAR	(4)	·
Date of Interview: 4/27/05		
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)XNo.	
Claim(s) discussed:	_	
Identification of prior art discussed: Svennesson and Blumenschein		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	ppy of the amendments that w	reed would render the claims rould render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse single of the state of the	last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THIS DF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH de or on attached sheet.	been filed, APPLICANT IS S INTERVIEW SUMMARY E INTERVIEW. See
the SSP one that the IP	"controls" the	conference Calls.
Examiners explained that it	has become a	Frence in the
ast to have an IP provide	= SCP function	ns in croer
to reduce the work load of	the SCP. Ap	plreant will
consider submitting an amolt.	directed to	specific
consider submitting an amolt. signals between the IP and	I the SSP.	1
		W [
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signa	ature, if required